

Taking the most out of Asymmetric NUMA Systems

11th EuroSys Doctoral Workshop (EuroDW'17)

David Daharewa Gureya, Joao Barreto, Paolo Romano, Rodrigo Rodrigues {IST, U. de Lisboa & INESC-ID}, Vivien Quema {Grenoble INP}, Pramod Bhatotia {University of Edinburgh}



Modern architectures are complex





Machines are Asymmetrically NUMA

AMD Opteron 8x6x1



3



Shared resource contention can lead to performance degradation





Example: Streamcluster running on 2 nodes

- Streamcluster (data-intensive application) executing with 12 threads on 2 nodes
 - ✓ Where to place threads?
 - ✓ Where to allocate pages?



Example: Streamcluster running on 2 nodes



- Performance of parallel application depends on; memory allocation, thread placement and data structures used (distributed/replicated)
- suboptimal allocation = bad performance



Challenges

Actually, thread/data placement is hard because:

- ✓ It depends on accurate online measurements of communication patterns
- ✓ It is combinatorially difficult
- ✓ It needs to adapt to changes in workloads
- ✓ All the above becomes harder when multiple applications exist



Current Optimizations

- Focus exclusively on Thread Placement [NuCore HPCA'16] or Data Placement [Shoal USENIX ATC'15, Carrefour ASPLOS'13]
- Rely on heuristic simplifications of hardware topology and memory behavior of the application [AsymSched USENIX ATC'15]
- Neglect high impact micro-bursts



Our approach

- Unify thread and data placement
- Model all factors that affect performance on an asymmetric NUMA System
 - ✓ Hardware topology
 - ✓ Memory behavior of the application
 - ✓ High impact micro-burst events
- Dynamically adjusting data and thread placement as workload changes



THANK YOU!

Email: dgureya@gsd.inesc-id.pt